E-Filed On 9-18-08 **GORDON SILVER** 1 GERALD M. GORDON, ESQ. 2 Nevada Bar No. 229 E-mail: ggordon@gordonsilver.com 3 MATTHEW C. ZIRZOW, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 7222 4 E-mail: mzirzow@gordonsilver.com 3960 Howard Hughes Pkwy., 9th Floor 5 Las Vegas, Nevada 89169 6 Telephone (702) 796-5555 Facsimile (702) 369-2666 7 and 8 9 PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP PATRICK J. POTTER, ESQ. (Admitted Pro Hac) 10 E-mail: patrick.potter@pillsburylaw.com JERRY HALL, ESQ (Admitted Pro Hac) 11 E-mail: jerry.hall@pillburylaw.com 2300 "N" Street, N.W. 12 Washington, D.C. 20037 13 Tel: (202) 663-8928 Fax: (202) 663-8007 14 Attorneys for Western Aircraft, Inc. 15 16 UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT 17 FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEVADA 18 In re: Case No.: BK-S-08-10936-MKN Chapter 7 19 SILVER STATE HELICOPTERS, LLC, (Jointly Administered) 20 Debtor. 21 In re: Case No.: BK-S-08-10935-MKN 22 Chapter 7 23 SILVER STATE SERVICES CORP., 24 Debtor. Date: N/A Time: N/A 25 WESTERN AIRCRAFT, INC.'S NOTICE OF APPEAL 26 Western Aircraft, Inc. ("Western"), by and through its undersigned counsel, respectfully 27 submits this Notice of Appeal. This is an appeal of: (1) Memorandum Decision on Motion of 28 Gordon Silver Attorneys At Law 101639-001/619848.doc 3960 Howard Hughes Pkwy Vegas, Nevada 89169 (702) 796-5555

Ninth Floor

Case 08-10936-mkn Doc 1032 Entered 09/18/08 16:35:10 Page 1 of 39

Western Aircraft, Inc. for Order Requiring Payment of Administrative Expense Claim, Made 1 Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §365(d)(3), 503(b) and 507(a)(1), And for Allowance of Administrative 2 3 Claim Under 11 U.S.C. §503(b), And Trustee's Emergency Motion to Strike Extant Document [Dkt. No. 535] On Order Shortening Time (the "Memorandum") [Dkt. No. 1014]; (2) the Order 4 5 on Memorandum (the "Order") [Dkt No.1018]; and (3) the Amended Memorandum [Docket 6 No. 1022], copies of which are attached hereto as Exhibits "1," "2" and "3," respectively. 7 Please take notice that Western has separately requested certification of this appeal 8 directly to the U.S. Court of Appeals pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 158(d)(2)(A)(i). Please further take 9 notice that, in the event that Western's certification request is denied, Western has also filed a 10 separate notice of election to have the appeal heard by the United States District Court for the 11 District of Nevada pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 158(b)(1).

The names of the parties and the names, addresses and telephone numbers of their respective counsel are as follows:

1. Appellant – Western Aircraft, Inc., and its counsel:

GORDON SILVER GERALD M. GORDON, ESQ. MATTHEW C. ZIRZOW, ESQ. 3960 Howard Hughes Pkwy., 9th Floor Las Vegas, Nevada 89169 Telephone (702) 796-5555

PATRICK J. POTTER, ESQ. JERRY HALL, ESQ Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman LLP 2300 "N" Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20037 Tel: (202) 663-8000

2. Appellee - James F. Lisowski, Sr. as Chapter 7 Trustee

ANTHONY A. ZMAILA, ESQ. VICTORIA L. NELSON, ESQ. Santoro Driggs, Walch, Kearney, Holley & Thompson 400 South Fourth Street, Third Floor Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Tel: (702) 791-0308

28

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

1	DATED this
2	GORDON & SILVER, LTD.
3	GORDON & SILVEY, LIB.
4	By:
5	GERALD M. GORDON, ESQ.' MATTHEW C. ZARZOW, ESQ.
6	3960 Howard Hughes Pkwy., Ninth Floor Las Vegas, NV 89169
7	
8	and
9	PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP PATRICK J. POTTER, ESQ.
10	JERRY HALL, ESQ. 2300 "N" Street, N.W.
11	Washington, D.C. 20037
12	Counsel for Western Aircraft, Inc.
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

Case 08-10936-mkn Doc 1032 Entered 09/18/08 16:35:10 Page 3 of 39

Gordon Silver Attorneys At Law Ninth Floor 3960 Howard Hughes Pkwy Las Vegas, Nevada 89169 (702) 796-5555

## **EXHIBIT "1"**

## Case 08-10936-mkn Doc 1032 Entered 09/18/08 16:35:10 Page 5 of 39

Case: 08-10936-mkn

Doc #: 1014

Filed: 09/16/2008

Page: 1 of 14

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

**Entered on Docket** September 16, 2008

Hon. Mike K. Nakagawa **United States Bankruptcy Judge** 

## UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT

### DISTRICT OF NEVADA

In re	Case No. BK-S-08-10936-MKN
SILVER STATE HELICOPTERS, LLC,	Chapter 7
Debtor.	) ) ·
Jointly administered with	) ) )
In re	Case No. BK-S-08-10935-MKN
SILVER STATE SERVICES CORP.,	Chapter 7
Debtor.	) ) Date: April 23, 2008 ) Time: 9:30 a.m.

MEMORANDUM DECISION ON MOTION OF WESTERN AIRCRAFT, INC. FOR ORDER REQUIRING PAYMENT OF ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSE CLAIM, MADE PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. §365(d)(3), 503(b) AND 507(a)(1), AND FOR ALLOWANCE OF ADMINISTRATIVE CLAIM UNDER 11 U.S.C. §503(b), AND TRUSTEE'S EMERGENCY MOTION TO STRIKE EXTANT DOCUMENT [DKT. NO. 535] ON ORDER SHORTENING TIME

These matters were heard on April 23, 2008. The appearances of counsel were noted on the record. After presentation of oral arguments, the matters were taken under submission based on the written materials submitted by the parties and the representations of counsel at the hearing.

Case: 08-10936-mkn Doc #: 1014 Filed: 09/16/2008 Page: 2 of 14

## BACKGROUND<sup>1</sup>

Silver State Helicopters, LLC ("Debtor") filed a voluntary petition for Chapter 7 liquidation on February 4, 2008.<sup>2</sup> (Dkt# 1) James F. Lisowski, Sr., was appointed as the bankruptcy trustee ("Trustee") to administer the case. The petition was a "skeleton" petition inasmuch as it was accompanied only by a list of 20 largest unsecured creditors and a mailing matrix, but no schedules of assets and liabilities or a statement of financial affairs. Amended schedules of assets and liabilities were filed on February 19, 2008. (Dkt#88) An amended personal property Schedule "B" along with an amended executory contract and unexpired lease Schedule "G" was filed on March 6, 2008. (Dkt# 179)

On March 13, 2008, a Motion for Order Requiring Payment of Administrative Expense Claim Made ("Payment Motion") Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §§ 365(d)(3), 503(b) and 507(a)(1), and For Allowance of Administrative Expense Claim Under 11 U.S.C. § 503(b) (Dkt#222) was filed by Western Aircraft, Inc. ("Western"). The Payment Motion was accompanied by a supporting legal memorandum ("Western Brief") (Dkt#223) and a Declaration of Allen Hoyt ("Hoyt Declaration") (Dkt#224). On March 14, 2008, the Trustee filed an Emergency Motion for an Order Authorizing Rejection of a Lease of Non-Residential Real Property Effective March 31, 2008 (Dkt#256). Both the latter motion ("Lease Rejection Motion") and the Payment Motion pertain to the same lease of aircraft hangar space located in Boise, Idaho ("Boise Lease").<sup>3</sup> On

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> In this Memorandum Decision, all references to "Section" shall be to the provisions of the Bankruptcy Code, 11 U.S.C. section 101, et seq., unless otherwise indicated. Likewise, all references to "Rule" shall be to the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure unless otherwise indicated.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> On the same date, a separate Chapter 7 petition was filed by a related entity, Silver State Services Corporation, denominated Case No. 08-10935. On May 22, 2008, in both cases, orders were entered (Dkt #s 251 and 633) for the two cases to be jointly administered with Silver State Helicopters to serve as the lead case.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> On the same date, the Trustee filed 31 similar motions involving other aircraft hangar spaces located in various parts of the United States.

Case: 08-10936-mkn Doc #: 1014 Filed: 09/16/2008 Page: 3 of 14

March 18, 2008, an order was entered shortening time ("OST") so that the Payment Motion could be heard on April 1, 2008. (Dkt#269)

On March 25, 2008, a hearing on the Lease Rejection Motion was conducted. After arguments were presented, the Court granted the motion and the Boise Lease was rejected effective March 31, 2008. A written order was entered on June 2, 2008. (Dkt# 669)

The Payment Motion was initially heard on April 1, 2008. The Trustee had filed written opposition ("Trustee's Opposition") on March 27, 2008. (Dkt#349) In response, Western filed a Supplement to Declaration of Allen Hoyt ("Supplemental Hoyt Declaration") as well as a Declaration of Patrick J. Potter ("Potter Declaration) in support of the Payment Motion. (Dkt #s 368 and 369)

At the April 1 hearing ("initial hearing") on the Payment Motion, the Court directed counsel for Western and the Trustee to file additional briefs on the issue of whether a landlord of nonresidential real property is entitled to a postpetition administrative claim that has priority over other administrative creditors. Western was given until April 8 to file a brief, the Trustee was given until April 18 to file his memorandum, and the hearing was continued to April 23, 2008.

In lieu of an additional brief, Western filed a Notice of Intent ("Intent Notice") on April 7, 2008 (Dkt# 428) that simply repeated its original legal position and did not address the issue framed by the Court. On April 18, 2008, the Trustee filed his brief ("Trustee's Supplemental Brief") addressing whether a landlord is afforded a "super-priority administrative claim" for the estate's obligations on an unexpired lease of nonresidential real property prior to rejection. (Dkt#520) A joinder in the Trustee's response was filed by secured creditor ORIX Finance Corporation ("ORIX"). (Dkt#522) On April 22, 2008, without leave of court, Western filed a reply ("Western Supplemental Reply") to the briefs submitted by the Trustee and ORIX. (Dkt# 535). With respect to the unauthorized reply filed by Western, the Trustee filed an Emergency Motion to Strike Extant Document [Dkt. No. 535] on Order Shortening Time ("Motion to

Case: 08-10936-mkn Doc #: 1014 Filed: 09/16/2008 Page: 4 of 14

Strike"). (Dkt# 537)

A hearing was conducted on April 23, 2008. After oral arguments were presented, the matter was taken under submission.

## APPLICABLE LEGAL STANDARDS

The treatment of executory contracts and unexpired leases is governed by Section 365. Subject to bankruptcy court approval, a trustee or a debtor-in-possession may assume or reject an executory contract or unexpired lease of the debtor. See 11 U.S.C. § 365(a).<sup>4</sup> Section 365 treats leases of nonresidential real property leases differently than leases of residential real property. In a Chapter 7 proceeding, an unexpired lease of residential real property is deemed rejected 60 days after the case is commenced, unless it is assumed by the bankruptcy trustee or additional time is ordered by the bankruptcy court. See 11 U.S.C. § 365(d)(1). In bankruptcy reorganization proceedings, an unexpired lease of residential real property may be assumed or rejected any time before confirmation of the reorganization or debt adjustment plan, unless a specific time is ordered by the bankruptcy court. See 11 U.S.C. § 365(d)(2).

With respect to an unexpired lease of nonresidential real property, Section 365 directs that the debtor-in-possession "shall timely perform all of the obligations of the debtor" after the case is filed "until such lease is assumed or rejected." See 11 U.S.C. § 365(d)(3)(Emphasis added.). For cause shown, however, the bankruptcy court "may extend...the time for performance of any such obligation that arises within 60 days after the [petition] date..., but the time for performance shall not be extended beyond such 60 day period." Id. (Emphasis added.) The debtor has the burden of demonstrating cause for an extension of the performance obligation under Section 365(d)(3). See, e.g., In re Pac-West Telecomm, Inc., 377 B.R. 119, 126 (Bkrtcy.D.Del. 2007)(denying request for extension where debtors merely alleged that they were too busy during first 60 days of bankruptcy case).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> While Section 365 refers to the rights and obligations of a bankruptcy trustee, a Chapter 11 debtor-in-possession has the same rights and obligations, with exceptions not applicable to this case, under Section 1107(a).

Case: 08-10936-mkn Doc #: 1014 Filed: 09/16/2008 Page: 5 of 14

If an unexpired lease of nonresidential real property is not assumed by the debtor-in-possession within 120 days after commencement of the bankruptcy case, the lease is deemed rejected and the subject property must be surrendered. See 11 U.S.C. § 365(d)(4). Within the 120-day period, a 90-day extension of the period may be obtained from the court on cause shown. See 11 U.S.C. § 365(d)(4)(B)(i). Subsequent extensions may be granted only with the consent of the lessor. See 11 U.S.C. § 365(d)(4)(B)(ii).

#### **DISCUSSION**

The Court first addresses the threshold question of whether the Western Supplemental Brief should be stricken. Then the Court will address whether immediate payment of postpetition rent is required under the circumstances presented.

#### I. TRUSTEE'S MOTION TO STRIKE.

At the initial hearing on April 1, counsel for Western requested an opportunity to brief the issue of whether nonresidential real property landlords effectively or legally have priority status for unpaid postpetition rent ahead of other administrative claimants. The briefing schedule agreed by the parties was specific: Western's brief was due on April 8, 2008, and the Trustee's memorandum was due on April 18, 2008. The April 23, 2008 continued hearing date also was agreed. Instead of providing the additional authorities requested by the Court, however, Western simply filed its "Notice of Intent" stating that it "does not believe that additional briefing of the issue is warranted given the unambiguous text of Section 365(d)(3); though Western has no objection to the trustee briefing the issue if he wishes." See Intent Notice at 2:23-25.

In compliance with the agreed schedule, the Trustee filed his brief by the April 18 deadline. Four days later, which was the day before the continued hearing, Western filed its Supplemental Reply without leave of court. Not unexpectedly, the Trustee filed his Motion to

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> The unexpired leases of nonresidential real property must be assumed or rejected by the earlier of 120 days after the petition date or the date a bankruptcy plan is confirmed. <u>See</u> 11 U.S.C. § 365(d)(4)(A)(i and ii).

Case: 08-10936-mkn Doc #: 1014 Filed: 09/16/2008 Page: 6 of 14

Strike the late-filed brief, but seeks no other sanction.

At the hearing, Western's counsel argued that unauthorized reply brief should not be stricken because there was no order prohibiting Western from filing the brief. Counsel argued that under "usual motion practice", the moving party is allowed to file the final brief.<sup>6</sup> It appears, however, that counsel was unaware or had simply forgotten that the hearing on the Payment Motion was scheduled pursuant to the OST specifically requested by Western's attorneys. The OST prepared by Western's counsel specifically provided that any replies in support of the Payment Motion must be filed no later than noon on March 31, 2008. In reply to the Trustee's Opposition, Western filed the Supplemental Hoyt Declaration as well as the Potter Declaration.

At the initial hearing, additional briefing was permitted by the Court because Western's counsel requested it. A specific and limited schedule for submission of the parties' briefs was agreed. Western submitted its Intent Notice prior to the deadline. Having requested opportunity to brief the issue, Western specifically eschewed additional briefing. Only after the Trustee timely filed his additional brief did Western file its Supplemental Reply that contains more pages than the Trustee's memorandum and also seeks additional relief.

Against this backdrop, Western's position is indefensible. If the Supplemental Reply had been merely late, its filing might be characterized as neglect. In view of the Intent Notice filed by Western as well as the bizarre justification offered at the hearing, however, submission of the Supplemental Reply was, at best, a transparent attempt to "sandbag" the Trustee. The Supplemental Reply was not authorized and will be stricken.<sup>7</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Counsel professed to have been "taken aback" by the Trustee's Motion to Strike and asserted that his co-counsel and his local counsel would have brought it to his attention if they thought the Supplemental Reply was not authorized. It is clear that Western already had exercised its opportunity to reply under the very OST its counsel had prepared. It is unclear why none of Western's counsel were aware of it.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> The Court also will not consider the joinder filed by ORIX regarding the Trustee's Supplemental Brief since ORIX never originally filed a response to the Payment Motion.

Case: 08-10936-mkn Doc #: 1014 Filed: 09/16/2008 Page: 7 of 14

## II. WESTERN'S PAYMENT MOTION.

The Debtor's schedules did not disclose the Boise Lease until March 6, 2008 when the Debtor filed its Schedule "G". Prior to the filing of Schedule "G", however, Western allegedly contacted the Trustee on several occasions, requesting payment under the Boise Lease. See Payment Motion at 2:28 to 3:7. At the initial hearing, the Trustee's counsel disputed that communications were received from Western and indicated that the Trustee had no specific knowledge of the Boise Lease until after the Debtor filed Schedule "G". The parties do not dispute, however, that Western was not paid postpetition rent for the partial month of February<sup>8</sup> nor the entire month of March. In addition to the foregoing rent, Western asserts that the estate would be obligated to pay postpetition legal fees incurred pursuant to Section 29 of the Boise Lease. See Western Brief at 9:12-14.9

As previously noted, Section 365(d)(2) applies only in proceedings other than a Chapter 7 liquidation and includes no provision to reduce the amount of time for a trustee to make a determination to assume or reject an unexpired lease of nonresidential real property.

A partial month of rent under a lease is commonly referred to as a "stub month" with the amount determined by deriving the percentage of the full month after the bankruptcy petition is filed, multiplied by the monthly rent. Apparently, a majority of courts include the stub month in the bankruptcy estate's rent obligation under Section 365(d)(3). See In re Picturesque, LLC, 2006 WL 3820891 (Bkrtcy.D.Ariz. December 22, 2006)(Hollowell, J.). Although the Payment Motion does not specify the amount of rent being sought by Western, Exhibit "1" to the Supplemental Hoyt Declaration indicates that Western is seeking stub rent for the period February 6 through February 29, 2008 in the amount of \$3,806.90 and full rent for the period March 1 through March 31, 2008, in the amount of \$4,600. The total amount for the period February 6, 2008 through March 31, 2008, is \$8,406.90. Although Exhibit "1" for some reason calculated the stub rent from February 6, 2008 rather than the February 4, 2008 petition date, the Trustee has not disputed Western's inclusion of the stub rent in its administrative claim. Exhibit "1" does not include a request for a late fee.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> At the initial hearing, the Trustee disputed the reasonableness of the fees that Western was seeking under Section 29 of the Boise Lease, taking particularly exception to the hourly rate apparently being charged. Because any dispute over the reasonableness of the hourly rate might require an evidentiary hearing, the Court deferred any ruling on the attorney's fees being claimed by Western under the Boise Lease.

Presumably, Western did not seek to compel the Trustee to assume or reject the Boise Lease under Section 365(d)(2) because the provision simply does not apply.

In contrast, Section 365(d)(3) applies in Chapter 7 liquidation proceedings as well under the reorganization chapters of the Bankruptcy Code and requires immediate performance of the rent obligations for such leases after the bankruptcy case is commenced. Section 365(d)(3) clearly affords more protective treatment to nonresidential lessors whose premises may be involved in prolonged efforts to reorganize. Upon a showing of cause, a bankruptcy trustee or a debtor-in-possession may be granted temporary relief from such lease performance but Section 365(d)(3) specifically provides that "...the time for performance shall not be extended beyond such 60-day period." Thus, even if cause is shown, the obligations that arise under a nonresidential real property lease within the initial 60-day period cannot be postponed to a time after the 60-day period has elapsed.

The Trustee, however, did not request an extension to time to meet the estate's obligation under Section 365(d)(3) to pay postpetition rent. Rather, the Trustee filed a motion to reject the Boise Lease shortly after the Debtor confirmed the existence of the lease by filing its Schedule "G". As a result of the Trustee's motion, the Boise Lease was rejected as of March 31, 2008.

While neither party disputes that the Trustee's rejection of the Boise Lease limits the Trustee's exposure under the lease, rejection does not address the obligation under Section 365(d)(3). Rather, the Trustee argues that he should not be required to immediately pay the rent otherwise demanded by Section 365(d)(3) because there are no funds presently on hand to pay the claimed rent. See Trustee's Opposition at 3:15-18. At the initial hearing, counsel for the Trustee indicated that there was no objection as to allowance of an administrative claim for the

Under Section 365(d)(3), the maximum extension permitted in the instant case would have been to April 4, 2008, i.e., the 60<sup>th</sup> day after the filing of the Debtor's bankruptcy petition. By that date, the Trustee would have been obligated under the Boise Lease to have made the February and March rental payments. But for the rejection effective March 31, 2008, the Trustee arguably would have been obligated to pay the rent due on April 1.

Case: 08-10936-mkn Doc #: 1014 Filed: 09/16/2008 Page: 9 of 14

amount of rent due under the Boise Lease, but merely as to the timing of the payment. While not disputing the Trustee's asserted lack of immediately available funds, Western still argues that Section 365(d)(3) demands immediate payment. Western now specifically rejects any assertion that its claim has priority over any other administrative claim. See Intent Notice at 2:2:9-12 and 2:22-23.<sup>11</sup>

In support of its position that it is entitled to immediate payment notwithstanding the lack of available funds, Western relies on the circuit court decisions in Towers v. Chickering & Gregory (In re Pacific-Atlantic Trading Co.), 27 F.3d 401 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1994), Koenig Sporting Goods Inc. v. Morse Road Co. (In re Koenig Sporting Goods, Inc.), 203 F.3d 986 (6<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2000), and CIT Communications Finance Corp. v. Midway Airlines Corp. (In re Midway Airlines Corporation), 406 F.3d 229 (4<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2005). See Western Brief at 3:4 to 7:19. None of these decisions, however, is dispositive.

In <u>Pacific-Atlantic Trading</u>, the Chapter 7 trustee did not formally reject an unexpired lease of a substantial amount of commercial office space. The lease was deemed rejected 60 days after entry of an order for relief pursuant to then-Section 365(d)(4).<sup>12</sup> Prior to the end of the

At the initial hearing, Western's counsel argued that the rent due under Section 365(d)(3) should be treated as a payment in the ordinary course of business that would not be subject to disgorgement. Counsel suggested that since it is not unusual for postpetition creditors to be paid in the ordinary course of business without being subject to disgorgement, Western's position is not inconsistent with any established priority scheme. However, because the practical effect of such a payment would still confer a "super-priority" to Western in the event the estate is insolvent, see, e.g., In re Leisure Time Sports, Inc., 189 B.R. 511 (Bkrtcy.S.D.Cal.1995)(court enforced stipulation that pre-rejection lease payments would not be subject to disgorgement), the Court invited additional briefing in lieu of counsel orally providing various case citations. That discussion led to the schedule for submission of additional briefs by Western and the Trustee.

At the time <u>Pacific-Atlantic Trading</u> was decided, Section 365(d)(4) provided in pertinent part that "...if the trustee does not assume or reject the unexpired lease of nonresidential real property under which the debtor is the lessee within 60 days after the date of the order for relief, or within such additional time as the court, for cause, within such 60-day period, fixes, then such lease is deemed rejected, and the trustee shall immediately surrender such nonresidential real property to the lessor." Section 365(d)(4) now provides in pertinent part that "...an unexpired lease of nonresidential real property under which the debtor is the lessee shall be

Case: 08-10936-mkn Doc #: 1014 Filed: 09/16/2008 Page: 10 of 14

60-day period, all estate property was removed from the premises. The landlord subsequently asserted an administrative expense claim for the full amount of the rent owed under the lease for the entire 60-day period even though the estate did not use the space for the entire period. The trustee asserted that the landlord was entitled an administrative claim only for the actual value of the space that was used prior to rejection, not the full amount due under the lease. Based on Section 365(d)(3), however, the Ninth Circuit panel rejected the trustee's position and held that the landlord was entitled to an administrative claim for the full amount of the rent due under the lease for the 60-day period. The circuit panel also specifically reserved any decision on whether a landlord's claim to administrative rent under Section 365(d)(3) is entitled to "super-priority status" over other administrative claims. 27 F.3d at 405. No argument was made by the Chapter 7 trustee that he did not have the funds available to pay the rent during the 60-day period.

In <u>Koenig Sporting Goods</u>, a Chapter 11 debtor-in-possession made an argument similar to that of the Chapter 7 trustee in <u>Pacific-Atlantic Trading</u>, i.e., that the commercial landlord was only entitled to partial rent for the portion of the month that the premises were occupied by the estate. Based on Section 365(d)(3), however, the Sixth Circuit panel rejected the Chapter 11 debtor-in-possession's position and required payment of rent for the entire month. 203 F.3d at 990. No argument was made that the debtor did not have the funds available to pay the full amount of the rent, nor did the landlord seek any other sanction or remedy for the debtor's failure to do so.

In <u>Midway Airlines</u>, a Chapter 11 debtor-in-possession did not make postpetition payments for telephone equipment that was subject to an unexpired lease. The lease was not rejected until more than 15 months after the case was commenced. In a Chapter 11 proceeding, Section 365(d)(1) requires that timely payments on unexpired leases of personal property must start on the 61<sup>st</sup> day after the case is filed until the lease is rejected. Based on Section

deemed rejected...if the trustee does not assume or reject the lease by the earlier of (i) the date that is 120 days after the date of the order for relief, or (ii) the date of the entry of an order confirming a plan."

Case: 08-10936-mkn Doc #: 1014 Filed: 09/16/2008 Page: 11 of 14

365(d)(10), the equipment lessor sought allowance of an administrative claim for the full amount owing under the lease beginning on the 61<sup>st</sup> day after commencement of the case through the date of rejection, i.e., the full amount of monthly rent for 13 months. The Fourth Circuit panel allowed an administrative claim for the full amount due under the lease, including any interest, taxes, late fees and attorney's fees. It specifically rejected, however, the equipment lessor's assertion that its claim under Section 365(d)(10) had priority over other allowed administrative claims. Moreover, it further rejected the lessor's argument that it was entitled to immediate payment regardless of the administrative solvency of the bankruptcy estate. 406 F.3d at 241-242.

In support of his position, the Trustee relies on a variety of cases for the proposition that Section 365(d)(3) does not create a priority for commercial landlords superior to other administrative claimants. See Trustee's Supplemental Brief at 3:6 to 4:9. The Trustee argues that Section 365(d)(3) does not require immediate payment of rent under a commercial lease where the estate is administratively insolvent. <u>Id.</u> at 4:10 to 5:5, <u>citing In re United West, Inc.</u>, 87 B.R. 138 (Bkrtcy.D.Nev. 1988).

In <u>United West</u>, a Chapter 11 debtor leased commercial real property on a month-to-month basis. The debtor-in-possession did not assume the lease within 60 days after commencing the case and the lease was deemed rejected pursuant to then-Section 365(d)(4). The bankruptcy court noted that Section 365(d)(3) does not set forth any remedy for nonpayment. 87 B.R. at 140, <u>citing In re Southwest Aircraft Services</u>, <u>Inc.</u>, 831 F.2d 848, 853 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1987), <u>cert. denied</u>, 487 U.S. 1206 (1988). The court rejected the landlord's demand under Section 365(d)(3) for immediate payment of the rent that had accrued postpetition.

Instead, the court concluded that "the timing of the payment of this administrative claim [under Section 365(d)(3)], like all other administrative claims, is within the discretion of the bankruptcy court. In exercising its discretion, the court must consider, inter alia, the status of the case, the ability of the debtor to pay for all administrative expenses, and the efficacy of the remedy of immediate payment subject to surcharge." 87 B.R. at 141. The <u>United Western</u> court's rationale

Case: 08-10936-mkn Doc #: 1014 Filed: 09/16/2008 Page: 12 of 14

is consistent with this circuit's decisions in Southwest Aircraft and Pacific-Atlantic Trading.

In <u>Southwest Aircraft</u>, the bankruptcy appellate panel held that after expiration of the 60-day period to assume or reject a commercial lease under then-Section 365(d)(4), the bankruptcy court no longer had authority to extend the period. The Ninth Circuit reversed, however, concluding that the bankruptcy court could grant an extension of the 60-day deadline as long as the Chapter 11 debtor–in-possession's motion to extend was filed before expiration of the deadline. Additionally, since Section 365(d)(3) does not state the consequence of its violation, the circuit panel held that the debtor-in-possession's failure to meet the timely payment requirement under Section 365(d)(3) did not require the bankruptcy court to deem the commercial lease to be rejected. Instead, the circuit panel observed:

"We believe that Congress intended the bankruptcy courts to have the discretion to consider all of the particular facts and circumstances involved in each bankruptcy case and to decide whether the consequence of a violation of subsection (d)(3) should be the <u>forfeiture of the unassumed lease</u>, some other penalty, or no penalty at all."

831 F.2d at 854. (Emphasis added.)

After Southwest Aircraft, the Ninth Circuit reiterated in Pacific-Atlantic Trading that Section 365(d)(3) does not state the consequences for a violation of its terms, 27 F.3d at 405, but concluded that a lessor must be paid the full amount of the rent that became due during the 60-day period. Consistent with Southwest Aircraft, the panel in Pacific-Atlantic Trading did not require that any penalty at all be imposed for the Chapter 7 trustee's failure to comply with Section 365(d)(3).<sup>13</sup>

<sup>13</sup> In In re Telesphere Communications, Inc., 148 B.R. 525 (Bkrtcy.N.D.III. 1992) (Wedoff, J.), the bankruptcy court also noted that Section 365(d)(3) contains no specific remedy, but relied on its general equitable powers under Section 105(a) to order the Chapter 11 debtor-in-possession to immediately pay the unpaid portion of rent. However, Judge Wedoff further indicated that "Should the debtor in possession lack funds sufficient to comply with this order, the court will consider a request that enforcement be stayed." 148 B.R. at 531-532. Thus, the court fashioned a remedy consistent with both the language of Section 365(d)(3) and the policy

of ratable distribution within creditor classes.

Case: 08-10936-mkn Doc #: 1014 Filed: 09/16/2008 Page: 13 of 14

In the present case, the parties do not dispute that the Trustee does not have the funds available to satisfy every potential administrative rent claim in the case. This is not surprising since the Trustee filed 31 similar motions to reject nonresidential leases in addition to the Boise Lease. The Trustee's concern may be heightened inasmuch as Western may be entitled to late fees in the form of interest on any past due rent under Section 44 of the Boise Lease, see Cukierman v. Uecker (In re Cukierman), 265 F.3d 846, 852-53 (9th Cir. 2001), plus possible attorney's fees under Section 29 of the Boise Lease. Id. at 852. Given the status of the case and the undisputed lack of available funds, see United West, supra, the Court will not penalize the Trustee for delaying payment of Western's rent claim under Section 365(d)(3) until the administrative solvency of the bankruptcy estate can be determined. Because the Boise Lease already has been rejected and Western's administrative rent claim may be accruing significant interest, a de facto remedy for the Trustee's noncompliance with Section 365(d)(3) is present. Nothing further is required at this stage.

#### **CONCLUSION**

For the reasons set forth herein, the Trustee's Motion to Strike will be granted and Western's Payment Motion will be denied to the extent it requests immediate payment of the postpetition rent under the Boise Lease. The Payment Motion will be granted to the extent it seeks allowance of the requested postpetition rent. Late fees in the form of interest as well as attorney's fees pursuant to Sections 44 and 29 of the Boise Lease will not be allowed at this time. A separate order has been entered concurrently herewith.

Copies noticed through ECF to:

JEANETTE E. MCPHERSON bkfilings@s-mlaw.com MATTHEW C. ZIRZOW <u>bankruptcynotices@gordonsilver.com</u>, bknotices@gordonsilver.com

LARS EVENSEN <u>lkevensen@hollandhart.com</u>,
nrschein@hollandhart.com;ckelly@hollandhart.com;mburton@hollandhart.com
VICTORIA L NELSON <u>bkecf@nevadafirm.com</u>,
vnelson@nevadafirm.com;paltstatt@nevadafirm.com;rmoss@nevadafirm.com

## Case 08-10936-mkn Doc 1032 Entered 09/18/08 16:35:10 Page 18 of 39

Page: 14 of 14 Filed: 09/16/2008 Case: 08-10936-mkn Doc #: 1014 1 JAMES F. LISOWSKI jfltrustee@aol.com, NV15@ecfcbis.com JAMES F. LISOWSKI lisowskilaw@aol.com 2 ROBERT R. KINAS rkinas@swlaw.com. 3 jmcbee@swlaw.com;jmath@swlaw.com;mfull@swlaw.com;cdossier@swlaw.com; 4 lvdocket@mindspring.com;vcampbell@swlaw.co 5 JAMES PATRICK SHEA bankruptcyfilings@sheacarlyon.com, ltread way@sheacarlyon.com; jshea@sheacarlyon.com; manthony@sheacarlyon.com; jshea@sheacarlyon.com; manthony@sheacarlyon.com; jshea@sheacarlyon.com; manthony@sheacarlyon.com; manthony.goog. manthony.goog.6 rmsmith@sheacarlyon.com 7 CLAIRE DOSSIER ckossier@swlaw.com 8 9 and sent to BNC to: 10 All parties on BNC mailing list 11 JERRY HALL C/O PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP 12 2300 N STREET, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20037 13 14 PATRICK J. POTTER C/O PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN, LLP 2300 N STREET, N.W. 15 WASHINGTON, DC 20037 16 17 18 ### 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27

# EXHIBIT "2"

	Case 08-10930-111KII DUC 1032 EIILE	ered 09/18/08 10.35.10 Page 20 01 39			
1	Case: 08-10936-mkn Doc #: 1018	Filed: 09/16/2008 Page: 1 of 4			
2	O THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY	211201			
3	Entered on Docket	The Hallow			
4	September 16, 2008	Hon. Mike K. Nakagawa			
5	LINITED STATES BA	United States Bankruptcy Judge NKRUPTCY COURT			
6	DISTRICT OF NEVADA				
7					
8	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
11 _	ı re	Case No. BK-S-08-10936-MKN			
SI	ILVER STATE HELICOPTERS, LLC,	Chapter 7			
0	Debtor. )				
1	}				
2 Jo	pintly administered with				
4   In	n re	Case No. BK-S-08-10935-MKN			
5 SI	ILVER STATE SERVICES CORP.,	Chapter 7			
6 7	Debtor.	Date: April 23, 2008 Time: 9:30 a.m.			
2	503(b) AND 507(a)(1), AND FOR ALLOV UNDER 11 U.S.C. §503(b), AND TRUSTEI EXTANT DOCUMENT [DKT. NO. 53	ORDER REQUIRING PAYMENT OF IADE PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. §365(d)(3), VANCE OF ADMINISTRATIVE CLAIM E'S EMERGENCY MOTION TO STRIKE 5] ON ORDER SHORTENING TIME			
.3	The Court having entered its Memorandu	m Decision on Motion of Western Aircraft, Inc			
.4   fo	or Order Requiring Payment of Administrative l	Expense Claim, Made Pursuant to 11 U.S.C.			

§365(d)(3), 503(b) and 507(a)(1), and for Allowance of Administrative Claim Under 11 U.S.C.

§503(b), and Trustee's Emergency Motion to Strike Extant Document [Dkt. No. 535] on Order

Page: 2 of 4 Case: 08-10936-mkn Doc #: 1018 Filed: 09/16/2008

Shortening Time, concurrently herewith,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Trustee's Emergency Motion to Strike Extant Document [Dkt. No. 535] on Order Shortening Time be, and the same hereby is, **GRANTED**.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Motion of Western Aircraft, Inc. for Order Requiring Payment of Administrative Expense Claim, Made Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §365(d)(3), 503(b) and 507(a)(1), and for Allowance of Administrative Claim Under 11 U.S.C. §503(b) be, and the same hereby is, **DENIED** insofar as it seeks immediate payment of the postpetition rent allowed under 11 U.S.C. section 365(d)(3).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Motion of Western Aircraft, Inc. for Order Requiring Payment of Administrative Expense Claim, Made Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §365(d)(3), 503(b) and 507(a)(1), and for Allowance of Administrative Claim Under 11 U.S.C. §503(b) is GRANTED insofar as it seeks allowance of an administrative expense claim for rent during the period February 6, 2008 through March 31, 2008, in the total amount of \$8,406.90.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Motion of Western Aircraft, Inc. for Order Requiring Payment of Administrative Expense Claim, Made Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §365(d)(3), 503(b) and 507(a)(1), and for Allowance of Administrative Claim Under 11 U.S.C. §503(b) is DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE insofar as it seeks allowance of attorney's fees and costs pursuant to Section 29 or late fees pursuant to Section 44 of the subject lease.

19

20

23

24

25

26

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

Copies noticed through ECF to:

JEANETTE E. MCPHERSON bkfilings@s-mlaw.com 21 MATTHEW C. ZIRZOW bankruptcynotices@gordonsilver.com, 22

bknotices@gordonsilver.com

LARS EVENSEN lkevensen@hollandhart.com, nrschein@hollandhart.com;ckelly@hollandhart.com;mburton@hollandhart.com

VICTORIA L NELSON bkecf@nevadafirm.com,

vnelson@nevadafirm.com;paltstatt@nevadafirm.com;rmoss@nevadafirm.com

JAMES F. LISOWSKI ifltrustee@aol.com, NV15@ecfcbis.com

JAMES F. LISOWSKI lisowskilaw@aol.com

27

Filed: 09/16/2008

Page: 3 of 4

Case: 08-10936-mkn Doc #: 1018

1 ROBERT R. KINAS rkinas@swlaw.com. jmcbee@swlaw.com;jmath@swlaw.com;mfull@swlaw.com;cdossier@swlaw.com; 2 lvdocket@mindspring.com;vcampbell@swlaw.co 3 JAMES PATRICK SHEA bankruptcyfilings@sheacarlyon.com. 4 ltreadway@sheacarlyon.com;jshea@sheacarlyon.com;manthony@sheacarlyon.com; 5 rmsmith@sheacarlyon.com CLAIRE DOSSIER ckossier@swlaw.com 6 NANCY L. ALLF nancy allf@gshllp.com, 7 karen lawrence@gshllp.com;anne pieroni@gshllp.com 8 LOUIS M. BUBALA lbubala@jonesvargas.com, tbw@jonesvargas.com;aanthony@jonesvargas.com 9 JANET L. CHUBB tbw@jonesvargas.com 10 BRIGID M. HIGGINS bankruptcynotices@gordonsilver.com, bknotices@gordonsilver.com 11 BRIAN E HOLTHUS bankruptcy@juww.com 12 NANCY HOTCHKISS nhotchkiss@trainorfairbrook.com, palshabazz@trainorfairbrook.com 13 PETER C. LOWN petelown@earthlink.net 14 SUSAN L. MYERS smyers@lionelsawyer.com, gbagley@lionelsawyer.com;bklsclv@lionelsawyer.com 15 MICHAEL REED danielle.goff@mvbalaw.com, 16 dgibson@mvbalaw.com.kmorris@mvbalaw.com 17 MICHAEL REED dgibson@mvbalaw.com, dgibson@mvbalaw.com,kmorris@mvbalaw.com 18 GEORGE W SHUSTER george.shuster@wilmerhale.com 19 AMBRISH S. SIDHU ecfnotices@sidhulawfirm.com SHARON L. STOLTE sstolte@stinson.com 20 U.S. TRUSTEE - LV - 7 USTPRegion17.LV.ECF@usdoj.g 21 JUSTIN ZARCONE jzarcone@shreveandassoc.com 22 ANTHONY A. ZMAILA azmaila@nevadafirm.com, 23 bkecf@nevadafirm.com;mbarnes@nevadafirm.com,paltstatt@nevadafirm.c 24 and sent to BNC to: 25 JERRY HALL C/O PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP 26 2300 N STREET, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20037 27

## Case 08-10936-mkn Doc 1032 Entered 09/18/08 16:35:10 Page 23 of 39

Case: 08-10936-mkn Doc #: 1018 Filed: 09/16/2008 Page: 4 of 4

PATRICK J. POTTER

PATRICK J. POTTER C/O PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN, LLP 2300 N STREET, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20037

###

2

3

4

5

6

9

10 11 12

13 | 14 | 15 |

19 20

21 22

23 | 24 |

25 26

27 | 4

# EXHIBIT "3"

## Case 08-10936-mkn Doc 1032 Entered 09/18/08 16:35:10 Page 25 of 39

	08-10936-mkn
	ontes BANKRUSTON
( <del>)</del>	
//	

PICTOF NEV

Doc #: 1022 Filed: 09/17/2008

Page: 1 of 15

Entered on Docket September 17, 2008 - Mil Meloan

Hon. Mike K. Nakagawa United States Bankruptcy Judge

## UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT

## DISTRICT OF NEVADA

In re SILVER STATE HELICOPTERS, LLC, Debtor.	Case No. BK-S-08-10936-MKN Chapter 7
Jointly administered with	
In re	Case No. BK-S-08-10935-MKN
SILVER STATE SERVICES CORP.,	Chapter 7
Debtor.	Date: April 23, 2008 Time: 9:30 a.m.

(AMENDED) MEMORANDUM DECISION ON MOTION OF WESTERN AIRCRAFT, INC. FOR ORDER REQUIRING PAYMENT OF ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSE CLAIM, MADE PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. §365(d)(3), 503(b) AND 507(a)(1), AND FOR ALLOWANCE OF ADMINISTRATIVE CLAIM UNDER 11 U.S.C. §503(b), AND TRUSTEE'S EMERGENCY MOTION TO STRIKE EXTANT DOCUMENT [DKT. NO. 535] ON ORDER SHORTENING TIME

These matters were heard on April 23, 2008. The appearances of counsel were noted on the record. After presentation of oral arguments, the matters were taken under submission based on the written materials submitted by the parties and the representations of counsel at the hearing.

Case: 08-10936-mkn Doc #: 1022 Filed: 09/17/2008 Page: 2 of 15

## BACKGROUND<sup>1</sup>

Silver State Helicopters, LLC ("Debtor") filed a voluntary petition for Chapter 7 liquidation on February 4, 2008.<sup>2</sup> (Dkt# 1) James F. Lisowski, Sr., was appointed as the bankruptcy trustee ("Trustee") to administer the case. The petition was a "skeleton" petition inasmuch as it was accompanied only by a list of 20 largest unsecured creditors and a mailing matrix, but no schedules of assets and liabilities or a statement of financial affairs. Amended schedules of assets and liabilities were filed on February 19, 2008. (Dkt#88) An amended personal property Schedule "B" along with an amended executory contract and unexpired lease Schedule "G" was filed on March 6, 2008. (Dkt# 179)

On March 13, 2008, a Motion for Order Requiring Payment of Administrative Expense Claim Made ("Payment Motion") Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §§ 365(d)(3), 503(b) and 507(a)(1), and For Allowance of Administrative Expense Claim Under 11 U.S.C. § 503(b) (Dkt#222) was filed by Western Aircraft, Inc. ("Western"). The Payment Motion was accompanied by a supporting legal memorandum ("Western Brief") (Dkt#223) and a Declaration of Allen Hoyt ("Hoyt Declaration") (Dkt#224). On March 14, 2008, the Trustee filed an Emergency Motion for an Order Authorizing Rejection of a Lease of Non-Residential Real Property Effective March 31, 2008 (Dkt#256). Both the latter motion ("Lease Rejection Motion") and the Payment Motion pertain to the same lease of aircraft hangar space located in Boise, Idaho ("Boise Lease").<sup>3</sup> On

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> In this Memorandum Decision, all references to "Section" shall be to the provisions of the Bankruptcy Code, 11 U.S.C. section 101, et seq., unless otherwise indicated. Likewise, all references to "Rule" shall be to the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure unless otherwise indicated.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> On the same date, a separate Chapter 7 petition was filed by a related entity, Silver State Services Corporation, denominated Case No. 08-10935. On May 22, 2008, in both cases, orders were entered (Dkt #s 251 and 633) for the two cases to be jointly administered with Silver State Helicopters to serve as the lead case.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> On the same date, the Trustee filed 31 similar motions involving other aircraft hangar spaces located in various parts of the United States.

Case: 08-10936-mkn Doc #: 1022 Filed: 09/17/2008 Page: 3 of 15

March 18, 2008, an order was entered shortening time ("OST") so that the Payment Motion could be heard on April 1, 2008. (Dkt#269)

On March 25, 2008, a hearing on the Lease Rejection Motion was conducted. After arguments were presented, the Court granted the motion and the Boise Lease was rejected effective March 31, 2008. A written order was entered on June 2, 2008. (Dkt# 669)

The Payment Motion was initially heard on April 1, 2008. The Trustee had filed written opposition ("Trustee's Opposition") on March 27, 2008. (Dkt#349) In response, Western filed a Supplement to Declaration of Allen Hoyt ("Supplemental Hoyt Declaration") as well as a Declaration of Patrick J. Potter ("Potter Declaration) in support of the Payment Motion. (Dkt #s 368 and 369)

At the April 1 hearing ("initial hearing") on the Payment Motion, the Court directed counsel for Western and the Trustee to file additional briefs on the issue of whether a landlord of nonresidential real property is entitled to a postpetition administrative claim that has priority over other administrative creditors. Western was given until April 8 to file a brief, the Trustee was given until April 18 to file his memorandum, and the hearing was continued to April 23, 2008.

In lieu of an additional brief, Western filed a Notice of Intent ("Intent Notice") on April 7, 2008 (Dkt# 428) that simply repeated its original legal position and did not address the issue framed by the Court. On April 18, 2008, the Trustee filed his brief ("Trustee's Supplemental Brief") addressing whether a landlord is afforded a "super-priority administrative claim" for the estate's obligations on an unexpired lease of nonresidential real property prior to rejection. (Dkt#520) A joinder in the Trustee's response was filed by secured creditor ORIX Finance Corporation ("ORIX"). (Dkt#522) On April 22, 2008, without leave of court, Western filed a reply ("Western Supplemental Reply") to the briefs submitted by the Trustee and ORIX. (Dkt#535). With respect to the unauthorized reply filed by Western, the Trustee filed an Emergency Motion to Strike Extant Document [Dkt. No. 535] on Order Shortening Time ("Motion to

Case: 08-10936-mkn Doc #: 1022 Filed: 09/17/2008 Page: 4 of 15

Strike"). (Dkt# 537)

A hearing was conducted on April 23, 2008. After oral arguments were presented, the matter was taken under submission.

#### APPLICABLE LEGAL STANDARDS

The treatment of executory contracts and unexpired leases is governed by Section 365. Subject to bankruptcy court approval, a trustee or a debtor-in-possession may assume or reject an executory contract or unexpired lease of the debtor. See 11 U.S.C. § 365(a).<sup>4</sup> Section 365 treats leases of nonresidential real property leases differently than leases of residential real property. In a Chapter 7 proceeding, an unexpired lease of residential real property is deemed rejected 60 days after the case is commenced, unless it is assumed by the bankruptcy trustee or additional time is ordered by the bankruptcy court. See 11 U.S.C. § 365(d)(1). In bankruptcy reorganization proceedings, an unexpired lease of residential real property may be assumed or rejected any time before confirmation of the reorganization or debt adjustment plan, unless a specific time is ordered by the bankruptcy court. See 11 U.S.C. § 365(d)(2).

With respect to an unexpired lease of nonresidential real property, Section 365 directs that the debtor-in-possession "shall timely perform all of the obligations of the debtor" after the case is filed "until such lease is assumed or rejected." See 11 U.S.C. § 365(d)(3)(Emphasis added.). For cause shown, however, the bankruptcy court "may extend...the time for performance of any such obligation that arises within 60 days after the [petition] date..., but the time for performance shall not be extended beyond such 60 day period." Id. (Emphasis added.) The debtor has the burden of demonstrating cause for an extension of the performance obligation under Section 365(d)(3). See, e.g., In re Pac-West Telecomm, Inc., 377 B.R. 119, 126 (Bkrtcy.D.Del. 2007)(denying request for extension where debtors merely alleged that they were too busy during first 60 days of bankruptcy case).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> While Section 365 refers to the rights and obligations of a bankruptcy trustee, a Chapter 11 debtor-in-possession has the same rights and obligations, with exceptions not applicable to this case, under Section 1107(a).

Case: 08-10936-mkn Doc #: 1022 Filed: 09/17/2008 Page: 5 of 15

If an unexpired lease of nonresidential real property is not assumed by the debtor-in-possession within 120 days after commencement of the bankruptcy case, the lease is deemed rejected and the subject property must be surrendered. See 11 U.S.C. § 365(d)(4). Within the 120-day period, a 90-day extension of the period may be obtained from the court on cause shown. See 11 U.S.C. § 365(d)(4)(B)(i). Subsequent extensions may be granted only with the consent of the lessor. See 11 U.S.C. § 365(d)(4)(B)(ii).

#### **DISCUSSION**

The Court first addresses the threshold question of whether the Western Supplemental Brief should be stricken. Then the Court will address whether immediate payment of postpetition rent is required under the circumstances presented.

## I. TRUSTEE'S MOTION TO STRIKE.

At the initial hearing on April 1, counsel for Western requested an opportunity to brief the issue of whether nonresidential real property landlords effectively or legally have priority status for unpaid postpetition rent ahead of other administrative claimants. The briefing schedule agreed by the parties was specific: Western's brief was due on April 8, 2008, and the Trustee's memorandum was due on April 18, 2008. The April 23, 2008 continued hearing date also was agreed. Instead of providing the additional authorities requested by the Court, however, Western simply filed its "Notice of Intent" stating that it "does not believe that additional briefing of the issue is warranted given the unambiguous text of Section 365(d)(3); though Western has no objection to the trustee briefing the issue if he wishes." See Intent Notice at 2:23-25.

In compliance with the agreed schedule, the Trustee filed his brief by the April 18 deadline. Four days later, which was the day before the continued hearing, Western filed its Supplemental Reply without leave of court. Not unexpectedly, the Trustee filed his Motion to

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> The unexpired leases of nonresidential real property must be assumed or rejected by the earlier of 120 days after the petition date or the date a bankruptcy plan is confirmed. <u>See</u> 11 U.S.C. § 365(d)(4)(A)(i and ii).

Case: 08-10936-mkn Doc #: 1022 Filed: 09/17/2008 Page: 6 of 15

Strike the late-filed brief, but seeks no other sanction.

At the hearing, Western's counsel argued that unauthorized reply brief should not be stricken because there was no order prohibiting Western from filing the brief. Counsel argued that under "usual motion practice", the moving party is allowed to file the final brief.<sup>6</sup> It appears, however, that counsel was unaware or had simply forgotten that the hearing on the Payment Motion was scheduled pursuant to the OST specifically requested by Western's attorneys. The OST prepared by Western's counsel specifically provided that any replies in support of the Payment Motion must be filed no later than noon on March 31, 2008. In reply to the Trustee's Opposition, Western filed the Supplemental Hoyt Declaration as well as the Potter Declaration.

At the initial hearing, additional briefing was permitted by the Court because Western's counsel requested it. A specific and limited schedule for submission of the parties' briefs was agreed. Western submitted its Intent Notice prior to the deadline. Having requested opportunity to brief the issue, Western specifically eschewed additional briefing. Only after the Trustee timely filed his additional brief did Western file its Supplemental Reply that contains more pages than the Trustee's memorandum and also seeks additional relief.

Against this backdrop, Western's position is indefensible. If the Supplemental Reply had been merely late, its filing might be characterized as neglect. In view of the Intent Notice filed by Western as well as the bizarre justification offered at the hearing, however, submission of the Supplemental Reply was, at best, a transparent attempt to "sandbag" the Trustee. The Supplemental Reply was not authorized and will be stricken.<sup>7</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Counsel professed to have been "taken aback" by the Trustee's Motion to Strike and asserted that his co-counsel and his local counsel would have brought it to his attention if they thought the Supplemental Reply was not authorized. It is clear that Western already had exercised its opportunity to reply under the very OST its counsel had prepared. It is unclear why none of Western's counsel were aware of it.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> The Court also will not consider the joinder filed by ORIX regarding the Trustee's Supplemental Brief since ORIX never originally filed a response to the Payment Motion.

Case: 08-10936-mkn Doc #: 1022 Filed: 09/17/2008 Page: 7 of 15

#### II. WESTERN'S PAYMENT MOTION.

The Debtor's schedules did not disclose the Boise Lease until March 6, 2008 when the Debtor filed its Schedule "G". Prior to the filing of Schedule "G", however, Western allegedly contacted the Trustee on several occasions, requesting payment under the Boise Lease. See Payment Motion at 2:28 to 3:7. At the initial hearing, the Trustee's counsel disputed that communications were received from Western and indicated that the Trustee had no specific knowledge of the Boise Lease until after the Debtor filed Schedule "G". The parties do not dispute, however, that Western was not paid postpetition rent for the partial month of February<sup>8</sup> nor the entire month of March. In addition to the foregoing rent, Western asserts that the estate would be obligated to pay postpetition legal fees incurred pursuant to Section 29 of the Boise Lease. See Western Brief at 9:12-14.9

As previously noted, Section 365(d)(2) applies only in proceedings other than a Chapter 7 liquidation and includes no provision to reduce the amount of time for a trustee to make a determination to assume or reject an unexpired lease of nonresidential real property.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> A partial month of rent under a lease is commonly referred to as a "stub month" with the amount determined by deriving the percentage of the full month after the bankruptcy petition is filed, multiplied by the monthly rent. Apparently, a majority of courts include the stub month in the bankruptcy estate's rent obligation under Section 365(d)(3). See In re Picturesque, LLC, 2006 WL 3820891 (Bkrtcy.D.Ariz. December 22, 2006)(Hollowell, J.). Although the Payment Motion does not specify the amount of rent being sought by Western, Exhibit "1" to the Supplemental Hoyt Declaration indicates that Western is seeking stub rent for the period February 6 through February 29, 2008 in the amount of \$3,806.90 and full rent for the period March 1 through March 31, 2008, in the amount of \$4,600. The total amount for the period February 6, 2008 through March 31, 2008, is \$8,406.90. Although Exhibit "1" for some reason calculated the stub rent from February 6, 2008 rather than the February 4, 2008 petition date, the Trustee has not disputed Western's inclusion of the stub rent in its administrative claim. Exhibit "1" does not include a request for a late fee.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> At the initial hearing, the Trustee disputed the reasonableness of the fees that Western was seeking under Section 29 of the Boise Lease, taking particularly exception to the hourly rate apparently being charged. Because any dispute over the reasonableness of the hourly rate might require an evidentiary hearing, the Court deferred any ruling on the attorney's fees being claimed by Western under the Boise Lease.

Presumably, Western did not seek to compel the Trustee to assume or reject the Boise Lease under Section 365(d)(2) because the provision simply does not apply.

In contrast, Section 365(d)(3) applies in Chapter 7 liquidation proceedings as well under the reorganization chapters of the Bankruptcy Code and requires immediate performance of the rent obligations for such leases after the bankruptcy case is commenced. Section 365(d)(3) clearly affords more protective treatment to nonresidential lessors whose premises may be involved in prolonged efforts to reorganize. Upon a showing of cause, a bankruptcy trustee or a debtor-in-possession may be granted temporary relief from such lease performance but Section 365(d)(3) specifically provides that "...the time for performance shall not be extended beyond such 60-day period." Thus, even if cause is shown, the obligations that arise under a nonresidential real property lease within the initial 60-day period cannot be postponed to a time after the 60-day period has elapsed.

The Trustee, however, did not request an extension to time to meet the estate's obligation under Section 365(d)(3) to pay postpetition rent. Rather, the Trustee filed a motion to reject the Boise Lease shortly after the Debtor confirmed the existence of the lease by filing its Schedule "G". As a result of the Trustee's motion, the Boise Lease was rejected as of March 31, 2008.

While neither party disputes that the Trustee's rejection of the Boise Lease limits the Trustee's exposure under the lease, rejection does not address the obligation under Section 365(d)(3). Rather, the Trustee argues that he should not be required to immediately pay the rent otherwise demanded by Section 365(d)(3) because there are no funds presently on hand to pay the claimed rent. See Trustee's Opposition at 3:15-18. At the initial hearing, counsel for the Trustee indicated that there was no objection as to allowance of an administrative claim for the

Under Section 365(d)(3), the maximum extension permitted in the instant case would

Case: 08-10936-mkn Doc #: 1022 Filed: 09/17/2008 Page: 9 of 15

amount of rent due under the Boise Lease, but merely as to the timing of the payment. While not disputing the Trustee's asserted lack of immediately available funds, Western still argues that Section 365(d)(3) demands immediate payment. Western now specifically rejects any assertion that its claim has priority over any other administrative claim. See Intent Notice at 2:2:9-12 and 2:22-23.<sup>11</sup>

In support of its position that it is entitled to immediate payment notwithstanding the lack of available funds, Western relies on the circuit court decisions in Towers v. Chickering & Gregory (In re Pacific-Atlantic Trading Co.), 27 F.3d 401 (9th Cir. 1994), Koenig Sporting Goods Inc. v. Morse Road Co. (In re Koenig Sporting Goods, Inc.), 203 F.3d 986 (6th Cir. 2000), and CIT Communications Finance Corp. v. Midway Airlines Corp. (In re Midway Airlines Corporation), 406 F.3d 229 (4th Cir. 2005). See Western Brief at 3:4 to 7:19. None of these decisions, however, is dispositive.

In <u>Pacific-Atlantic Trading</u>, the Chapter 7 trustee did not formally reject an unexpired lease of a substantial amount of commercial office space. The lease was deemed rejected 60 days after entry of an order for relief pursuant to then-Section 365(d)(4).<sup>12</sup> Prior to the end of the

At the initial hearing, Western's counsel argued that the rent due under Section 365(d)(3) should be treated as a payment in the ordinary course of business that would not be subject to disgorgement. Counsel suggested that since it is not unusual for postpetition creditors to be paid in the ordinary course of business without being subject to disgorgement, Western's position is not inconsistent with any established priority scheme. However, because the practical effect of such a payment would still confer a "super-priority" to Western in the event the estate is insolvent, see, e.g., In re Leisure Time Sports, Inc., 189 B.R. 511 (Bkrtcy.S.D.Cal.1995)(court enforced stipulation that pre-rejection lease payments would not be subject to disgorgement), the Court invited additional briefing in lieu of counsel orally providing various case citations. That discussion led to the schedule for submission of additional briefs by Western and the Trustee.

At the time <u>Pacific-Atlantic Trading</u> was decided, Section 365(d)(4) provided in pertinent part that "...if the trustee does not assume or reject the unexpired lease of nonresidential real property under which the debtor is the lessee within 60 days after the date of the order for relief, or within such additional time as the court, for cause, within such 60-day period, fixes, then such lease is deemed rejected, and the trustee shall immediately surrender such nonresidential real property to the lessor." Section 365(d)(4) now provides in pertinent part that "...an unexpired lease of nonresidential real property under which the debtor is the lessee shall be

Case: 08-10936-mkn Doc #: 1022 Filed: 09/17/2008 Page: 10 of 15

60-day period, all estate property was removed from the premises. The landlord subsequently asserted an administrative expense claim for the full amount of the rent owed under the lease for the entire 60-day period even though the estate did not use the space for the entire period. The trustee asserted that the landlord was entitled an administrative claim only for the actual value of the space that was used prior to rejection, not the full amount due under the lease. Based on Section 365(d)(3), however, the Ninth Circuit panel rejected the trustee's position and held that the landlord was entitled to an administrative claim for the full amount of the rent due under the lease for the 60-day period. The circuit panel also specifically reserved any decision on whether a landlord's claim to administrative rent under Section 365(d)(3) is entitled to "super-priority status" over other administrative claims. 27 F.3d at 405. No argument was made by the Chapter 7 trustee that he did not have the funds available to pay the rent during the 60-day period.

In <u>Koenig Sporting Goods</u>, a Chapter 11 debtor-in-possession made an argument similar to that of the Chapter 7 trustee in <u>Pacific-Atlantic Trading</u>, i.e., that the commercial landlord was only entitled to partial rent for the portion of the month that the premises were occupied by the estate. Based on Section 365(d)(3), however, the Sixth Circuit panel rejected the Chapter 11 debtor-in-possession's position and required payment of rent for the entire month. 203 F.3d at 990. No argument was made that the debtor did not have the funds available to pay the full amount of the rent, nor did the landlord seek any other sanction or remedy for the debtor's failure to do so.

In <u>Midway Airlines</u>, a Chapter 11 debtor-in-possession did not make postpetition payments for telephone equipment that was subject to an unexpired lease. The lease was not rejected until more than 15 months after the case was commenced. In a Chapter 11 proceeding, Section 365(d)(1) requires that timely payments on unexpired leases of personal property must start on the 61<sup>st</sup> day after the case is filed until the lease is rejected. Based on Section

deemed rejected...if the trustee does not assume or reject the lease by the earlier of (i) the date that is 120 days after the date of the order for relief, or (ii) the date of the entry of an order confirming a plan."

Case: 08-10936-mkn Doc #: 1022 Filed: 09/17/2008 Page: 11 of 15

365(d)(10), the equipment lessor sought allowance of an administrative claim for the full amount owing under the lease beginning on the 61<sup>st</sup> day after commencement of the case through the date of rejection, i.e., the full amount of monthly rent for 13 months. The Fourth Circuit panel allowed an administrative claim for the full amount due under the lease, including any interest, taxes, late fees and attorney's fees. It specifically rejected, however, the equipment lessor's assertion that its claim under Section 365(d)(10) had priority over other allowed administrative claims. Moreover, it further rejected the lessor's argument that it was entitled to immediate payment regardless of the administrative solvency of the bankruptcy estate. 406 F.3d at 241-242.

In support of his position, the Trustee relies on a variety of cases for the proposition that Section 365(d)(3) does not create a priority for commercial landlords superior to other administrative claimants. See Trustee's Supplemental Brief at 3:6 to 4:9. The Trustee argues that Section 365(d)(3) does not require immediate payment of rent under a commercial lease where the estate is administratively insolvent. Id. at 4:10 to 5:5, citing In re United West, Inc., 87 B.R. 138 (Bkrtcy.D.Nev. 1988).

In <u>United West</u>, a Chapter 11 debtor leased commercial real property on a month-to-month basis. The debtor-in-possession did not assume the lease within 60 days after commencing the case and the lease was deemed rejected pursuant to then-Section 365(d)(4). The bankruptcy court noted that Section 365(d)(3) does not set forth any remedy for nonpayment. 87 B.R. at 140, citing In re Southwest Aircraft Services, Inc., 831 F.2d 848, 853 (9th Cir. 1987), cert. denied, 487 U.S. 1206 (1988). The court rejected the landlord's demand under Section 365(d)(3) for immediate payment of the rent that had accrued postpetition.

Instead, the court concluded that "the timing of the payment of this administrative claim [under Section 365(d)(3)], like all other administrative claims, is within the discretion of the bankruptcy court. In exercising its discretion, the court must consider, inter alia, the status of the case, the ability of the debtor to pay for all administrative expenses, and the efficacy of the remedy of immediate payment subject to surcharge." 87 B.R. at 141. The <u>United Western</u> court's rationale

Case: 08-10936-mkn Doc #: 1022 Filed: 09/17/2008 Page: 12 of 15

is consistent with this circuit's decisions in Southwest Aircraft and Pacific-Atlantic Trading.

In <u>Southwest Aircraft</u>, the bankruptcy appellate panel held that after expiration of the 60-day period to assume or reject a commercial lease under then-Section 365(d)(4), the bankruptcy court no longer had authority to extend the period. The Ninth Circuit reversed, however, concluding that the bankruptcy court could grant an extension of the 60-day deadline as long as the Chapter 11 debtor–in-possession's motion to extend was filed before expiration of the deadline. Additionally, since Section 365(d)(3) does not state the consequence of its violation, the circuit panel held that the debtor-in-possession's failure to meet the timely payment requirement under Section 365(d)(3) did not require the bankruptcy court to deem the commercial lease to be rejected. Instead, the circuit panel observed:

"We believe that Congress intended the bankruptcy courts to have the discretion to consider all of the particular facts and circumstances involved in each bankruptcy case and to decide whether the consequence of a violation of subsection (d)(3) should be the <u>forfeiture of the unassumed lease</u>, some other penalty, or no penalty at all."

831 F.2d at 854. (Emphasis added.)

After Southwest Aircraft, the Ninth Circuit reiterated in Pacific-Atlantic Trading that Section 365(d)(3) does not state the consequences for a violation of its terms, 27 F.3d at 405, but concluded that a lessor must be paid the full amount of the rent that became due during the 60-day period. Consistent with Southwest Aircraft, the panel in Pacific-Atlantic Trading did not require that any penalty at all be imposed for the Chapter 7 trustee's failure to comply with Section 365(d)(3).<sup>13</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> In <u>In re Telesphere Communications, Inc.</u>, 148 B.R. 525 (Bkrtcy.N.D.III. 1992) (Wedoff, J.), the bankruptcy court also noted that Section 365(d)(3) contains no specific remedy, but relied on its general equitable powers under Section 105(a) to order the Chapter 11 debtor-in-possession to immediately pay the unpaid portion of rent. However, Judge Wedoff further indicated that "Should the debtor in possession lack funds sufficient to comply with this order, the court will consider a request that enforcement be stayed." 148 B.R. at 531-532. Thus, the court fashioned a remedy consistent with both the language of Section 365(d)(3) and the policy of ratable distribution within creditor classes.

Case: 08-10936-mkn Doc #: 1022 Filed: 09/17/2008 Page: 13 of 15

In the present case, the parties do not dispute that the Trustee does not have the funds available to satisfy every potential administrative rent claim in the case. This is not surprising since the Trustee filed 31 similar motions to reject nonresidential leases in addition to the Boise Lease. The Trustee's concern may be heightened inasmuch as Western may be entitled to late fees in the form of interest on any past due rent under Section 44 of the Boise Lease, see Cukierman v. Uecker (In re Cukierman), 265 F.3d 846, 852-53 (9th Cir. 2001), plus possible attorney's fees under Section 29 of the Boise Lease. Id. at 852. Given the status of the case and the undisputed lack of available funds, see United West, supra, the Court will not penalize the Trustee for delaying payment of Western's rent claim under Section 365(d)(3) until the administrative solvency of the bankruptcy estate can be determined. Because the Boise Lease already has been rejected and Western's administrative rent claim may be accruing significant interest, a de facto remedy for the Trustee's noncompliance with Section 365(d)(3) is present. Nothing further is required at this stage.

#### CONCLUSION

For the reasons set forth herein, the Trustee's Motion to Strike will be granted and Western's Payment Motion will be denied to the extent it requests immediate payment of the postpetition rent under the Boise Lease. The Payment Motion will be granted to the extent it seeks allowance of the requested postpetition rent. Late fees in the form of interest as well as attorney's fees pursuant to Sections 44 and 29 of the Boise Lease will not be allowed at this time. A separate order has been entered concurrently herewith.

Copies noticed through ECF to:

JEANETTE E. MCPHERSON bkfilings@s-mlaw.com MATTHEW C. ZIRZOW <u>bankruptcynotices@gordonsilver.com</u>, bknotices@gordonsilver.com

LARS EVENSEN <u>lkevensen@hollandhart.com</u>, nrschein@hollandhart.com;ckelly@hollandhart.com;mburton@hollandhart.com
VICTORIA L NELSON <u>bkeef@nevadafirm.com</u>,
vnelson@nevadafirm.com;paltstatt@nevadafirm.com;rmoss@nevadafirm.com

1	JAMES F. LISOWSKI jfltrustee@aol.com, NV15@ecfcbis.com
2	JAMES F. LISOWSKI <u>lisowskilaw@aol.com</u>
3	ROBERT R. KINAS <u>rkinas@swlaw.com.</u>
4	jmcbee@swlaw.com;jmath@swlaw.com;mfull@swlaw.com;cdossier@swlaw.com; lvdocket@mindspring.com;vcampbell@swlaw.co
5	JAMES PATRICK SHEA bankruptcyfilings@sheacarlyon.com,
6	ltreadway@sheacarlyon.com;jshea@sheacarlyon.com;manthony@sheacarlyon.com;
7	rmsmith@sheacarlyon.com CLAIRE DOSSIER ckossier@swlaw.com
8	NANCY L. ALLF nancy_allf@gshllp.com,
9	karen_lawrence@gshllp.com;anne_pieroni@gshllp.com
10	LOUIS M. BUBALA <u>lbubala@jonesvargas.com</u> ,
11	tbw@jonesvargas.com;aanthony@jonesvargas.com  JANET L. CHUBB tbw@jonesvargas.com
12	BRIGID M. HIGGINS bankruptcynotices@gordonsilver.com, bknotices@gordonsilver.com
13	BRIAN E HOLTHUS bankruptcy@juww.com
14	NANCY HOTCHKISS nhotchkiss@trainorfairbrook.com, palshabazz@trainorfairbrook.com
15	PETER C. LOWN petelown@earthlink.net
16	SUSAN L. MYERS smyers@lionelsawyer.com, gbagley@lionelsawyer.com;bklsclv@lionelsawyer.com
17	MICHAEL REED danielle.goff@mvbalaw.com.
18	dgibson@mvbalaw.com,kmorris@mvbalaw.com  MICHAEL REED dailagas @mvbalaw.com dailagas @mvbalaw.com
19	MICHAEL REED dgibson@mvbalaw.com, dgibson@mvbalaw.com,kmorris@mvbalaw.com GEORGE W SHUSTER george.shuster@wilmerhale.com
20	AMBRISH S. SIDHU ecfnotices@sidhulawfirm.com
21	SHARON L. STOLTE sstolte@stinson.com
22	U.S. TRUSTEE - LV - 7 USTPRegion17.LV.ECF@usdoj.g
23	JUSTIN ZARCONE jzarcone@shreveandassoc.com
24	ANTHONY A. ZMA!LA azmaila@nevadafirm.com,
25	bkecf@nevadafirm.com;mbarnes@nevadafirm.com,paltstatt@nevadafirm.c
26	
27	

Case 08-10936-mkn Doc 1032 Entered 09/18/08 16:35:10 Page 39 of 39		
Case: 08-10936-mkn Doc#: 1022 Filed: 09/17/2008 Page: 15 of 15		
and sent to BNC to:		
JERRY HALL		
C/O PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP 2300 N STREET, N.W.		
WASHINGTON, DC 20037 PATRICK J. POTTER		
C/O PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN, LLP 2300 N STREET, N.W.		
WASHINGTON, DC 20037		
###		